

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,961		12/03/2001	John D. Holder	MEMC 00-1100 (2808.1)	7824
321	7590	09/24/2004	EXAMINER		INER
		WERS LEAVITT AN	ANDERSON, MATTHEW A		
ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE 16TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ST LOU	T LOUIS, MO 63102			1765	
				DATE MAILED: 09/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Nation of Abandanment	10/004,961	HOLDER ET AL.
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit
	Matthew A. Anderson	1765
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		
This application is abandoned in view of:		
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Magnetic period for reply (including a total extension of time of (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a failure for the Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on, but it does not be a failure for the Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on, but it does not be a failure for the Office (a) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a failure for the Office (a) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a failure for the Office (a) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a failure for the Office (a) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a failure for the Office (a) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a failure for the Office (a) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a failure for the Office (a) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a failure for the Office (a) A proposed reply was received on 	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	·
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (n consists only of: (1) a timely filed an I Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which places the
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See	ute a proper reply, or a bona fide atte	mpt at a proper reply, to the non-
(d) No reply has been received.		
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8)	d publication fee, if applicable, within 5).	the statutory period of three months
 (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was	received on (with a Certification and for payment of the issue fee (an	te of Mailing or Transmission dated d publication fee) set in the Notice of
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	of \$ is due.	
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	he publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	t been received.	
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37).	ired by, and within the three-month p	eriod set in, the Notice of
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Trans	smission dated), which is
(b) \(\square\) No corrected drawings have been received.		
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	attorney or agent of record, the assi	gnee of the entire interest, or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a represe	entative capacity under 37 CFR
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim 	ne .	
7. 🛛 The reason(s) below:		Reco
Abandonment confirmed by applicant's representative	ve in a phone call on 9/20/2004.	NADINE G. NORTON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE MAA
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw minimize any negative effects on patent term.		